

CAUSE NO. _____

=====

JUDICIAL DISTRICT

2. Plaintiffs are individuals that own real property in Collin County, Texas.
3. Defendant is an insurance company engaged in the business of insurance in the State of Texas. This Defendant may be served with process through its Registered Agent, Corporation Service Company, 211 E 7th Street Ste. 620, Austin, TX 78701-3218. Service is hereby requested.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action because Plaintiffs seek damages within the jurisdictional limits of this Court and because the causes of action asserted herein are not subject to exclusive jurisdiction in another court.

6. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because it engages in the business of insurance in Texas, and Plaintiffs' causes of action arise out of this defendant's business activities in Texas.

7. Venue is proper under Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 15.001 *et. seq.* because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred in Collin County, Texas. Furthermore, venue is proper in Collin, County, Texas under Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 15.032 because the insured property is located in this county.

IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

8. Plaintiffs purchased a Homeowner's Insurance Policy (hereinafter referred to as "the Policy") issued by Defendant.

9. During the effective policy period, Plaintiffs owned real property with improvements located at 5612 Ventana Trail, Dallas, TX 75252, located in Collin County (hereinafter referred to as “the Property”).

10. Defendant sold and issued Plaintiffs the Policy insuring the Property against damages caused by hail, windstorm and other covered perils.
11. On or about March 24, 2016, the Property described above sustained severe damages due to the direct force of hail. As a result, the interior of the Property and Plaintiffs' contents sustained resulting damage.
12. Plaintiffs duly notified Defendant of the damage sustained and asked that Defendant pay for damages to the Property and other damages covered by the terms of the Policy.
13. Defendant then assigned Plaintiffs Claim No. 43-841M-337 (hereinafter "the Claim").
14. After its investigation, Defendant ultimately acknowledged damage from a covered loss event but grossly underpaid the claim despite the existence of obvious and easily identifiable damages for which Defendant's liability for the extension of further coverage was reasonably clear.
15. Specifically, Defendant underpaid and/or denied coverage for hail damage to Plaintiff's dwelling and a number of items of personal property.
16. As set forth below, Defendant failed to comply with the Policy, the Texas Insurance Code, and Texas law in handling Plaintiffs' Claim by:
 - a) Wrongfully denying Plaintiffs' Claim for full repairs to the Property even though the Policy provides coverage for losses such as those Plaintiffs are claiming;
 - b) Underpaying some of Plaintiffs' Claim by not providing full coverage for damages sustained to the Property;
 - c) Improperly and inadequately scoping the damages to the Property during Defendant's investigation; and
 - d) Continuing to delay in the payment of damages to the Plaintiff's property.
17. Moreover, Defendant breached its contractual obligation to Plaintiffs by continuing to refuse to adequately compensate Plaintiffs for the damage to the Property pursuant to the

terms of the Policy. Notably, Defendant refused to pay for the proceeds of the Policy despite the fact pre-suit demand for payment in an amount sufficient to cover the damage to the Property was made.

18. Plaintiffs complied with all obligations under the Policy, and all conditions precedent to recovery upon the Policy are satisfied.
19. Defendant continues to delay in the payment the covered damage despite Plaintiffs' requests. Accordingly, Plaintiffs have still not been paid in full for the damages to the Property.
20. As a result of Defendant's wrongful acts and omissions, Plaintiffs were forced to retain legal counsel to represent Plaintiffs with respect to these causes of action.

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

BREACH OF CONTRACT

21. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.
22. Although Plaintiffs fully cooperated with Defendant, and despite the fact that all conditions precedent to recovery have been performed, waived, excused, or otherwise satisfied, Defendant has failed and refused to pay to Plaintiffs the benefits due under the Policy/contract of insurance in question, which was in full force and effect at the time of the occurrence which forms the basis of this lawsuit.

23. Plaintiffs were forced by the actions of Defendant to retain the services of undersigned counsel and have agreed to pay undersigned counsel reasonable attorney's fees.
24. Defendant's breach proximately caused Plaintiffs' injuries and damages.

UNFAIR SETTLEMENT PRACTICES

25. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.
26. Defendant violated TEX. INS. CODE § 541.060(a) by engaging in unfair settlement practices during the handling of the claim. All of Defendant's violations are actionable pursuant to TEX. INS. CODE § 541.151.
27. Defendant engaged in the following unfair settlement practices:
 - a) Pursuant to TEX. INS. CODE § 541.060(a)(1), misrepresenting to Plaintiffs material facts or Policy provisions relating to the coverage at issue by misrepresenting the true scope and amount of the claim at issue despite the existence of obvious and easily identifiable property conditions warranting the extension of further coverage under the policy.
 - b) Pursuant to TEX. INS. CODE § 541.060(a)(2)(A), failing to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the Claim, even though Defendant's liability under the Policy was reasonably clear. Specifically, Defendant failed to make an attempt to settle the Claim fairly despite the fact that Defendant was aware of its liability to Plaintiffs under the Policy.
 - c) Pursuant to TEX. INS. CODE § 541.060(a)(3), failing to promptly provide Plaintiffs with a *reasonable* explanation of the basis in the Policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for Defendant's denial of the claim or offer of a compromise settlement of the Claim. Specifically, Defendant failed to offer Plaintiffs adequate compensation for damages to their property without *reasonable* explanation as to why full payment was not being made.
 - d) Pursuant to TEX. INS. CODE § 541.060(a)(4), failing within a reasonable time to affirm or deny coverage for the Claim to Plaintiffs or to submit a reservation of rights. Specifically, Plaintiffs did not receive a timely, written indication of acceptance or rejection regarding the entire claim.

- e) Pursuant to TEX. INS. CODE § 541.060(a)(7), refusing to pay Plaintiffs' Claim without conducting a *reasonable* investigation with respect to the Claim. Defendant's outcome-oriented investigation of the Claim resulted in an unfair evaluation of the damage to Plaintiffs' Property.
28. Each of the foregoing unfair settlement practices was committed with knowledge by Defendant and was a producing cause of Plaintiffs' injuries and damages.

MISREPRESENTATION OF INSURANCE POLICY VIOLATIONS

29. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.
30. Additionally, Defendant made misrepresentations about Plaintiffs' insurance policy and claim in violation of TEX. INS. CODE § 541.061. All of Defendant's violations are actionable pursuant to TEX. INS. CODE § 541.151.
31. Defendant engaged in deceptive insurance practices by making an untrue statement of material fact in violation of § 541.061(1). Specifically, Defendant misrepresented coverage and the true scope and amount of the claim despite the existence of obvious and easily identifiable property conditions warranting the extension of further coverage under the policy.
32. Each of the foregoing unfair settlement practices was committed with knowledge by Defendant and was a producing cause of Plaintiffs' injuries and damages.

PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS

33. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.

34. Plaintiffs gave Defendant proper notice of claim under the insurance Policy issued by Defendant. As set forth more fully below, Defendant's conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Prompt Payment of Claims Statute, which is made actionable by TEX. INS. CODE § 542.060.
35. Specifically, Defendant violated the Prompt Payment of Claims provisions of TEX. INS. CODE § 542 by:
 - a) Failing to acknowledge receipt of Plaintiffs' Claim, commence investigation of the Claim, and request from Plaintiffs all items, statements, and forms that they reasonably believed would be required within the applicable time constraints, as described above, which constitutes a non-prompt payment of claims and a violation of TEX. INS. CODE § 542.055;
 - b) Failing to notify Plaintiffs in writing of its acceptance or rejection of the full and entire Claim within the applicable time constraints provided by TEX. INS. CODE § 542.056; and/or
 - c) Delaying payment of the Claim following Defendant's receipt of all items, statements, and forms reasonably requested and required, longer than the amount of time provided by TEX. INS. CODE § 542.058. Defendant delayed full payment of the Claim and, to date, Plaintiffs have still not received full payment of the amount owed on this Claim.
36. Each of the foregoing unfair settlement practices was committed with knowledge by Defendant and was a producing cause of Plaintiffs' injuries and damages.

BREACH OF DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING/BAD FAITH

37. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.
38. Since Plaintiffs initially presented the Claim to Defendant, the liability of Defendant to pay the full claim in accordance with the terms of the Policy was reasonably clear. The damage to Plaintiffs' home and personal prpoerty was not apparent until after the loss made the basis of Plaintiffs' insurance claim. It is no coincidence that there was no apparent storm

damage prior to the loss, and significant damage just after. The hail damage to Plaintiffs' property was at all times reasonably clear during Defendant's claim investigation, such that any adjuster or insurance carrier acting in good faith would know or should have known to acknowledge and accept coverage for such damages. Instead, Defendant purposely failed to acknowledge and accept full coverage for reasonably clear hail damage. Alternatively, Defendant was grossly negligent in failing to acknowledge and accept full coverage for the reasonably clear hail damages to the property.

39. As a result of Defendant's outcome-oriented investigation, Defendant continues to refuse to pay Plaintiffs in full for the Claim.

40. Defendant denied coverage and delayed payment for the full amount of Plaintiffs' claim when it had no reasonable basis for doing so. Defendant knew or should have known by the exercise of reasonable diligence that its liability was reasonably clear, and its failure, as set forth above, to adequately and reasonably investigate and evaluate Plaintiffs' Claim, constitutes a breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing.

VI. KNOWLEDGE

41. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.

42. Each of the acts as set forth in each of the afore-mentioned causes of action, together and singularly, were done "knowingly" as that term is used in the Texas Insurance Code and were a producing cause of Plaintiffs' damages described herein.

VII. DAMAGES

43. Plaintiffs would show that all of the aforementioned acts, taken together or independently, establish the producing causes of the damages sustained by Plaintiffs.
44. Plaintiffs' damages have yet to be fully addressed or repaired since the incident, causing further damage to the Property and causing undue burden to Plaintiffs. Upon trial of this case, it will be shown that these damages are a direct result of Defendant's improper handling of the Claim in violation of the laws set forth above.
45. For the breach of contract, Plaintiffs are entitled to regain the benefit of the bargain, which is the amount of the Claim, together with attorney's fees.
46. As a result of the noncompliance with the Texas Insurance Code Unfair Settlement Practices provisions, Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages, which includes the loss of the contractual benefits that should have been paid pursuant to the Policy, mental anguish, court costs, and attorney's fees. For Defendants' knowing conduct in violating these laws, Plaintiffs respectfully request treble damages pursuant to TEX. INS. CODE § 541.152.
47. Pursuant to TEX. INS. CODE § 542.060, as a result of the noncompliance with the Texas Insurance Code Prompt Payment of Claims provisions, Plaintiffs are entitled to the entire amount of the Claim, eighteen (18) percent interest per annum on the amount of the Claim and reasonable and necessary attorney's fees.
48. For the breach of the common-law duty of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory damages, including all forms of loss resulting from Defendant's breach, exemplary damages, and damages for emotional distress.
49. As a result of the necessity in engaging the services of an attorney to prosecute this claim, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover a sum for the reasonable and necessary services of

- Plaintiffs' attorneys in the preparation and trial of this action, including any appeals to the Court of Appeals and/or the Supreme Court of Texas.
50. Pursuant to Rule 47 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs are seeking only monetary relief of \$1,000,000.00 or more.

VIII.
JURY DEMAND

51. Plaintiffs hereby request that all causes of action alleged herein be tried before a jury, and hereby tender the appropriate jury fee.

IX.
WRITTEN DISCOVERY

52. Pursuant to Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 194, Plaintiffs request that Defendant disclose the information or material described in Rule 194.2.
53. Attached to this petition are Plaintiffs' Requests for Production and Plaintiffs' Interrogatories.

X.
PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that upon trial hereof, final judgment be rendered for Plaintiffs as follows:

- 1) Judgment against Defendant for actual damages, including mental anguish and pain and suffering, in an amount to be determined by the jury;
- 2) Statutory penalties;
- 3) Treble damages;
- 4) Exemplary and punitive damages;
- 5) Prejudgment interest as provided by law;
- 6) Post-judgment interest as provided by law;
- 7) Attorneys' fees;
- 8) Costs of this suit; and
- 9) Such other and further relief to which Plaintiffs may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

THE LANE LAW FIRM, PLLC

By: /s/ Robert C. Lane

Robert C. Lane

State Bar No. 24046263

Jack Kitchen

State Bar No. 24084320

notifications@lanelaw.com

6200 Savoy Drive, Suite 1150

Houston, Texas 77036

(713) 595-8200 – Telephone

(713) 595-8201 – Fax

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS